

## EOSC Rules of Participation Working Group

### 9<sup>th</sup> teleconference meeting

09/01/2020

15:00-16:30 CET

Working documents can be found here:

[https://drive.google.com/drive/u/0/folders/1ir8\\_rk43MpPf\\_vhMcWa6tcfvXvn48uGi](https://drive.google.com/drive/u/0/folders/1ir8_rk43MpPf_vhMcWa6tcfvXvn48uGi)

**Participants:** J. Bicarregui, W. Hortsmann (Chair & V.Chair), E. Dujso (secretariat), F. De Pascalis; R. Belsø; C.; D. Robertson; R. Leproult; O. Appleton; P. Pagano; M. Pusnik; Georgia

#### 1. Update from Executive Board (Juan)

A meeting was held in Milan.

A partnership proposal has been prepared by the EB and is with the GB.

The Tinman report from sustainability WG has been distributed to projects for feedback by 9<sup>th</sup> Feb<sup>1</sup>.

Alignment is necessary between what we are writing and what is written in the Tinman report. We have to agree on the vision with them.

The next executive board is at the end of the month.

#### 2. Request for a WG member to participate in workshop on Training for EOSC organised by DANS.

Note from Ingrid Dillo: ...DANS received funding through the co-creation call to organize a workshop on training in the EOSC. The aim of this workshop is to bring trainers together and discuss how we can improve EOSC training provision by its quality, findability, accessibility and the easier reuse of learning resources. The workshop will be really hands-on and will result in a report with recommendations that will hopefully be relevant for the EOSC WGs RoP and FAIR. ...The event will take place in The Hague on 26-28 February 2020. It would be very valuable to have input from your two WGs. Therefore, we would like to ask you whether we could have a representative from your groups at the workshop.

(General invitation to Trainers is [here](#))

#### 3. Review of status RoPv01

---

<sup>1</sup> Dear Project Representatives, Rupert Lueck and Lidia Borrell-Damián, Co-Chairs of the EOSC Sustainability Working Group (WG), invite you as representatives of the EOSC projects to provide feedback and insight on a tinman report prepared by the Sustainability WG. This document explores possible means for sustaining the European Open Science Cloud beyond its initial phase which terminates at the end of 2020. This independent document builds on an earlier strawman version and the feedback that it received. It considers the financing model, legal vehicle, governance structure as well as the regulatory and policy environment of the EOSC with a first iteration to establish a Minimum Viable EOSC (MVE) addressing the needs of publicly funded researchers exploiting openly available data and subsequent iterations. This still is a work in progress and the Sustainability WG would appreciate your feedback on the different sections of the tinman report. Please note that this document is not public and should not be shared or distributed further. The deadline for providing feedback is the **9<sup>th</sup> February 2020**. The tinman report as well as a summary of the feedback received to the strawman document are accessible via the feedback webpage: <https://www.eoscsecretariat.eu/tinman-document-feedback-survey-eosc-projects> Access to the webpage and the documents is restricted to registered users of the EOSC secretariat liaison platform. You can create an account for the platform here: <https://www.eoscsecretariat.eu/user/register>

- RoPv01 was distributed to Exec Board before Christmas. There have been a few comments. EB will meet at the end of Jan. After that, the document will be made more widely available. How this will be done is still to be decided. Views from the WG are welcome.
  - Comments received from MSch [here](#) ☐ please have a look at this and add comments yourself in response to Michelle's comments.
4. RoP scoping paper: [RulesOfParticipationV001](#)
- This document received a lot of useful comments that influenced the RoP document. The distributed version is now frozen. [Another version](#) is being worked on taking into account the comment received. This is still work in progress. ☐ if one would like to have a look at this, please go ahead.
5. Planning for 2020
- Review of [Timeline document](#) outlining WG work.

Perhaps we will have to change the day of the conference call to add Michel Schoupe. Juan and Elma will get together to find a date.

How and when to put the documents out wider?

- We need one revision and feedback from executive board and governing board – the secretariat could help us with this.
- What is the process to validate the rules of participation? Now it's a working document, to widely disseminate, do we need an official validation? We should validate the process.

**Next Meeting, Feb 13, 15:00 CET.**